UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/551,597	10/02/2006	Sylvain Capouilliet	2085-101US	2936
25881 7590 02/02/2009 EPSTEIN DRANGEL BAZERMAN & JAMES, LLP 60 EAST 42ND STREET			EXAMINER	
			BOLDA, ERIC L	
SUITE 820 NEW YORK, NY 10165			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3663	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/02/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/551,597	CAPOUILLIET ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	ERIC BOLDA	3663
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the ma earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re- tiod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONI tute, cause the application to become ABA	CATION. ply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ▼ T Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	his action is non-final. wance except for formal matte	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with description 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-4,11,12 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 5-10 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam	lrawn from consideration.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) and a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corrupt The oath or declaration is objected to by the	nccepted or b) objected to be the drawing(s) be held in abeyand rection is required if the drawing(s	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreit a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a light specified.	ents have been received. ents have been received in Apriority documents have been eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s	ummary (PTO-413))/Mail Date formal Patent Application

Art Unit: 3663

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on Nov. 28, 2008 has been entered.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. Specifically, the new limitations are disclosed or in the alternative made obvious by a combination of previously cited references (see below).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1, 2, 11, and 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by Liang (US 6,493,133).

Art Unit: 3663

5. Liang discloses in Fig. 3 an optical signal processor device, for use with an information-carrying signal, comprising means for suppressing backscattered signals in the optical transmission means, comprising

- An optical propagation medium (302)) being connected in parallel at two connection points, to the optical transmission means (303), and
- divertor means (circulators)(301b),(3010) being arranged for diverting to this
 optical propagation medium, any signal propagating in the opposite direction
 (from right to left) of the information-carrying signal (traveling from left to right) in
 the optical transmission means
- At least a portion of the means for optical transmitting an information-carrying signal extending between the two divertors
- Wavelength discrimination means (F1) discriminating between a Rayleigh backscattering signal (BRS) and signals at other wavelengths λ₁, e. g. pump signals. Note that the amplifiers (306), (307) may be Raman amplifiers, and therefore are pumped by pump light.

The clause "a pump signal intended to be back-propagated in the optical transmission means" is essentially a statements of intended or desired use. Thus, these claims as well as other statements of intended use do not serve to patentably distinguish the claimed structure over that of the reference. See MPEP § 2114. In this case, since applicant's claim does not actually recite that the pump signal is back-propagated, but only wavelength discrimination means for discriminating between a pump signal intended to be back-propagated in the optical transmission means and a

Art Unit: 3663

Rayleigh backscattering, the functionality of the device in the reference is sufficient to anticipate the claim.

With regard to claim 2, the divertor means are two circulators (310), (301b)

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 7. Claims 1,2, 11, and 12 are alternatively rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over in view of Liang (US 6,493,133) in view of Christodoulides (US 6,388,800).
- 8. With regard to claims 1,11 and 12, Liang discloses in Fig. 3 an optical signal processor device, for use with an information-carrying signal, comprising means for suppressing backscattered signals in the optical transmission means, comprising
 - An optical propagation medium (302)) being connected in parallel at two connection points, to the optical transmission means (303), and
 - divertor means (circulators)(301b),(301a) being arranged for diverting to this optical propagation medium, any signal propagating in the opposite direction (from right to left) of the information-carrying signal (traveling from left to right) in the optical transmission means (9th col. liens 36-39)
 - At least a portion of the means for optical transmitting an information-carrying signal extending between the two divertors
 - Liang discloses that the amplifiers (306), may be Raman amplifiers (7th col. lines 27-29), but does not specify the pumping configuration.

Application/Control Number: 10/551,597

Art Unit: 3663

• Filters F1 and F2 to reduce backward Rayleigh scattered scattering (BRS) However, Christodoulides discloses in Fig. 12 an optical fiber Raman amplifier, including a counterpropagating pump and a configuration similar to Liang.

 A divertor means (circulator (64) separates the signal wavelength output and counterpropagating pump wavelength, and separates them again at (62). This configuration is the same as the top half of Liang's Fig. 3, except that the second wavelength is now corresponding to pumping light rather than antother signal light.

Page 5

 the optical propagation medium (optical fiber (68) and (70) is the Raman amplifier (Note that the directions of signal and pump are reversed from Applicant's Fig. 2; this does not distinguish between the devices). The pumping laser is located outside of the optical propagation medium (2nd col. lines 21-25).

Combining the configuration of Liang's Fig. 3 with the pumping arrangement of Christodoulides, results in applicant's invention. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art (e. g. an optical engineer) to make such a combination, because the pumping for a Raman amplfilier, already suggested by Liang, can then be done remotely, with advantages in the accessibility and control of the pumping laser.

With regard to claim 2, the divertor means are two circulators (310), (301b) (Liang).

9. Claims 3-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Liang or alternativey Liang in view of Christodoulides as applied to claim 1 above and further in view of Aina et al. (US 2001/002452).

With regard to claim 3, Christodoulides does not disclose an optical functional module disposed on the optical transmission means between the two circulators. However, Aina discloses in Fig. 6a line site of an optical transmission system with optical functional modules, such as optical add drop multiplexer (670) and optical amplifier (640) situated on a parallel optical fiber(LB) to other optical fibers (HB). It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art (e. g. an optical engineer) to include the optical module(s) of Aina, for the purpose of removing and adding signals at certain wavelengths at intermediate transmitters and receivers (Aina et al. [0005]).

With regard to claim 4, the optical function module comprises an optical add/drop multiplexer (630) and optical amplifier (640) which functions to regenerates the signal.

Allowable Subject Matter

10. Claims 5-10 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Eric Bolda whose telephone number is 571-272-8104. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 8:30am to 5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Jack Keith, can be reached on 571-272-6878. Please note the fax phone

Art Unit: 3663

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Eric Bolda/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3663